

Guidelines for Funding Allocation 25-26 Fiscal Year

Purpose

This model aims to promote responsible allocation of student funds across all undergraduate organizations. By instituting a hard cap of \$10,000 per organization (only to exceed with a unanimous finance committee vote), in alignment with existing codes, the model ensures broader access to funding while preventing disproportionate awards. To maintain fiscal accountability and evaluate high-dollar requests more rigorously, any requested dollar exceeding \$1,500 for programs or \$2,500 for conferences and tournaments will be subject to the following standardized rubric. This rubric provides a transparent, criteria-based framework to assess the necessity, impact, and financial stewardship of the proposed use of funds. Through this model, we prioritize fairness, belonging, and the maximization of student benefit across all funding decisions.

Rubric

Green, yellow, and red columns correspond with a number (1-3).

PROGRAMS

Criteria	3	2	1	0
Physical Location and Student Accessibility	In a space on campus (25Live)	Within 1 mile of campus	Off Campus	
number of expected attendees	50	49-30	29-9	0-8
Learning Outcomes Met	4	3	2	0
Cost Effectiveness	20\$ or less pp all in	\$21- \$29	\$30-\$50	\$51- \$99 or more

Collaboration	Includes collaboration with a university department and other undergraduate organization	Involves Collaboration with a Undergrad Org ONLY	Involves Collaboration with a university department ONLY	No collaboration	
---------------	--	--	--	------------------	--

Points Breakdown

0-4 : Eligible for up to 40% of requested funding

5-6: eligible for 50% of requested funding

7 – 9 : Eligible for up to 70% of requested funding

10-12: Eligible for up to 80% of requested funding

13+: Eligible for 100% of requested funding

CONFERENCE AND TOURNAMENT

Criteria	3	2	1
Location and Accessibility	Event is at the University of South Carolina or within the state of South Carolina	Event is within the USA	Event is international
Learning Outcomes Met	4	3	2
Cost efficiency	Less than 400\$ pp ⁽²⁾	Between 400\$ and 599\$ pp	600\$ or more pp

Number of attendees	10+	4-9	3-0
---------------------	-----	-----	-----

Points Breakdown

4 : Eligible for up to 40% of requested funding

5-6: eligible for 50% of requested funding

7 – 8 : Eligible for up to 70% of requested funding

9: Eligible for up to 80% of requested funding

10+: Eligible for 100% of requested funding

Disclaimer

This model is designed to allocate funding responsibly. However, the allocation of funds is NOT guaranteed. Organizations must still adhere to the guidelines set forth by the Student Government (SG) when submitting funding requests. Compliance with these guidelines is mandatory, and the availability of funding will be determined based on the discretion of SG.

If any student organization is suspected of defrauding SG finance, SG has the right to ask a member of your organization for a meeting to discuss further funding eligibility. Per Section 3-4-20 (a) the Student Government finance committee may deny funding for any reason they see fit. SG may also at any time request an audit of your organization.

For questions, please email SASGTREA@mailbox.sc.edu

NACE Competencies (University Benchmark)

In your budget request you will be asked to tell us why your event includes a number of these competencies. You must describe in 2-5 sentences why your event meets these objectives. This corresponds to the “Learning Outcomes Met” section of the funding rubric.

- Critical thinking/problem solving
- Teamwork/collaboration
- Professionalism/work ethics
- Oral/written communications
- Career & self-development
- Leadership
- Technology